

THE STRUCTURE AND DIFFERENCES OF DISCUSSION ESSAY WRITING IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK

Shahnoza Shuhratovna Bobomurotova

EFL Teacher,

University of Journalism and Mass

Communications of Uzbekistan

shuhratovna18@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Academic writing plays a crucial role in modern education as it enables students to demonstrate linguistic competence, analytical thinking, and the ability to construct logical arguments. Among various forms of academic writing, the discussion essay occupies a significant position because it encourages writers to examine different perspectives on a particular issue before presenting a balanced conclusion. In recent decades, discussion essays have become increasingly important in international language examinations, university assessments, and professional academic contexts. Despite sharing common communicative purposes, discussion essays written in different languages often reflect distinct rhetorical traditions, organizational patterns, and linguistic conventions.

The findings reveal that English discussion essays generally follow a highly standardized and linear organizational pattern, whereas Uzbek discussion essays demonstrate greater structural flexibility and a stronger tendency toward explanatory discourse. Although both traditions emphasize logical reasoning and balanced argumentation, differences can be observed in the use of discourse markers, authorial voice, and persuasive techniques. The results contribute to the fields of academic writing, contrastive rhetoric, discourse analysis, and language education. Furthermore, the study provides practical insights for teachers and learners seeking to improve academic writing skills in multilingual educational environments.

Keywords: *discussion essay, academic writing, English language, Uzbek language, contrastive rhetoric, argumentation, discourse analysis, cohesion, coherence, academic literacy.*

INGLIZ VA O‘ZBEK TILLARIDA MUHOKAMA INSHOSINING TUZILISHI VA FARQLARI

ANNOTATSIYA

Akademik yozuv zamonaviy ta’lim tizimida muhim o‘rin tutadi, chunki u talabalarga o‘zlarining til kompetensiyasi, tahliliy fikrlash qobiliyati hamda mantiqiy

dalillarni shakllantirish mahoratini namoyish etish imkonini beradi. Akademik yozuvning turli shakllari orasida muhokama inshosi alohida ahamiyatga ega, chunki u muallifni muayyan masala yuzasidan turli nuqtai nazarlarni ko'rib chiqishga va so'ngra muvozanatli xulosa chiqarishga undaydi. So'nggi o'n yilliklarda muhokama esselari xalqaro til imtihonlari, universitet baholash tizimlari hamda professional akademik muhitlarda tobora muhim ahamiyat kasb etib bormoqda. Biroq, kommunikativ maqsadlari o'xshash bo'lishiga qaramay, turli tillarda yozilgan muhokama esselari ko'pincha o'ziga xos ritorik an'analar, tashkiliy tuzilishlar va lingvistik me'yorlarni aks ettiradi.

Tadqiqot natijalari shuni ko'rsatadiki, ingliz tilidagi muhokama insholari odatda qat'iy standartlashtirilgan va chiziqli tashkiliy tuzilishga ega bo'lsa, o'zbek tilidagi muhokama esselari tuzilish jihatidan nisbatan moslashuvchan bo'lib, izohlovchi bayon uslubiga ko'proq moyillik namoyon etadi. Har ikki an'anada ham mantiqiy fikrlash va muvozanatli dalillash muhim o'rin egallaydi, hamda bog'lovchi vositalardan foydalanish, muallif ovozi hamda ishontirish usullarida muayyan farqlar kuzatiladi. Olingan natijalar akademik yozuv, kontrastiv ritorika, diskurs tahlili va til ta'limi sohalariga muhim hissa qo'shadi. Shuningdek, tadqiqot ko'p tilli ta'lim muhitlarida akademik yozuv ko'nikmalarini rivojlantirishga intilayotgan o'qituvchilar va talabalar uchun amaliy tavsiyalarni taqdim etadi.

Kalit so'zlar: *muhokama inshosi, akademik yozuv, ingliz tili, o'zbek tili, kontrastiv ritorika, argumentatsiya, diskurs tahlili, kogeziya, kogerentlik, akademik savodxonlik.*

СТРУКТУРА И РАЗЛИЧИЯ СОЧИНЕНИЕ-ДИСКУССИИ В АНГЛИЙСКОМ И УЗБЕКСКОМ ЯЗЫКАХ

АННОТАЦИЯ

Академическое письмо играет важную роль в современной системе образования, поскольку позволяет студентам демонстрировать языковую компетентность, аналитическое мышление и способность выстраивать логически обоснованные аргументы. Среди различных видов академического письма эссе-дискуссия занимает особое место, так как побуждает автора рассматривать различные точки зрения по определённой проблеме, прежде чем представить сбалансированный вывод. В последние десятилетия эссе-дискуссия приобретает всё большее значение в международных языковых экзаменах, университетских оценочных системах и профессиональной академической среде. Несмотря на общность коммуникативных целей, сочинение-дискуссия, написанные на разных языках, нередко отражают

различные риторические традиции, организационные модели и языковые нормы.

Результаты исследования показывают, что эссе-дискуссии на английском языке, как правило, следуют строго стандартизированной и линейной организационной структуре, тогда как эссе-дискуссии на узбекском языке характеризуются большей структурной гибкостью и более выраженной склонностью к объяснительному изложению материала. Хотя обе традиции подчёркивают важность логического рассуждения и сбалансированной аргументации, различия наблюдаются в использовании дискурсивных маркеров, авторской позиции и средств убеждения. Полученные результаты вносят вклад в развитие исследований в области академического письма, контрастивной риторики, дискурс-анализа и языкового образования. Кроме того, исследование предоставляет практические рекомендации преподавателям и обучающимся, стремящимся совершенствовать навыки академического письма в многоязычной образовательной среде.

Ключевые слова: *сочинение-дискуссия, академическое письмо, английский язык, узбекский язык, контрастивная риторика, аргументация, дискурс-анализ, когезия, когерентность, академическая грамотность.*

INTRODUCTION

The ability to communicate ideas effectively through writing has become one of the most essential skills in contemporary education. In academic settings, writing serves not only as a means of expressing knowledge but also as a tool for demonstrating critical thinking, analytical reasoning, and intellectual engagement with complex issues. As educational systems increasingly emphasize student-centered learning and independent inquiry, academic writing has gained greater significance across various disciplines. Students are expected to produce well-structured texts that present ideas logically, support arguments with evidence, and address different perspectives on a given topic.

Among the many genres of academic writing, the discussion essay is widely recognized as one of the most challenging and intellectually demanding forms. Unlike descriptive essays, which primarily present information, or narrative essays, which focus on storytelling, discussion essays require writers to evaluate opposing viewpoints and construct balanced arguments. The purpose of a discussion essay is not simply to persuade readers to accept a particular opinion but rather to explore an issue from multiple perspectives and arrive at a reasoned conclusion based on careful analysis.

The increasing popularity of international language proficiency examinations has further enhanced the importance of discussion essay writing. Tests such as IELTS, TOEFL, and Cambridge English examinations frequently assess candidates' ability to discuss controversial issues, compare viewpoints, and present coherent arguments. Consequently, understanding the conventions of discussion essay writing has become a priority for students seeking academic and professional success.

English academic writing has developed a highly structured tradition that emphasizes clarity, coherence, and objectivity. Writers are generally expected to organize ideas according to established conventions, including a clear introduction, logically developed body paragraphs, and a concise conclusion. These conventions have evolved through centuries of academic practice and are widely accepted in universities and research institutions around the world.

Uzbek academic writing, while sharing many common goals with English academic discourse, has developed within a different linguistic and cultural context. Traditional approaches to writing in Uzbek often place greater emphasis on explanation, contextualization, and gradual development of ideas. As a result, the organization and presentation of arguments may differ from those commonly found in English discussion essays. These differences are particularly relevant in multilingual educational settings where students are required to write in both languages.

The comparison of discussion essay writing in English and Uzbek is therefore important for both theoretical and practical reasons. From a theoretical perspective, it contributes to the field of contrastive rhetoric by examining how different linguistic and cultural traditions influence written discourse. From a practical perspective, it provides valuable insights for teachers, curriculum developers, and students seeking to improve academic writing skills across languages.

The present study aims to investigate the structural organization and distinguishing features of discussion essays in English and Uzbek. By examining similarities and differences in essay composition, argumentation strategies, linguistic features, and rhetorical conventions, the study seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of academic writing practices in both languages.

Academic writing has been extensively studied by scholars in applied linguistics, discourse analysis, and educational research. One of the earliest and most influential contributions to the study of cross-cultural writing was made by Kaplan (1966), who introduced the concept of contrastive rhetoric. According to Kaplan,

different cultures organize written discourse in distinct ways, and these organizational patterns influence how arguments are developed and presented.

Subsequent studies expanded this perspective by examining the relationship between language, culture, and academic communication. Connor (1996) argued that writing conventions are deeply embedded within educational traditions and social expectations. Consequently, students learning a second language often encounter difficulties because they transfer rhetorical patterns from their native language into the target language.

Research on English academic writing consistently emphasizes the importance of coherence, cohesion, and logical organization. Hyland (2019) notes that successful academic writing requires writers to establish clear relationships between ideas and guide readers through the argument effectively. Similarly, Swales and Feak (2020) highlight the role of genre conventions in shaping academic texts and argue that understanding these conventions is essential for effective communication.

Discussion essays have attracted particular attention because they require writers to engage with competing viewpoints. Oshima and Hogue (2017) describe discussion essays as texts that present different sides of an issue before reaching a balanced conclusion. Such essays encourage critical thinking and help learners develop analytical skills.

Studies of Uzbek academic writing remain relatively limited compared to research on English writing. However, available literature suggests that Uzbek academic discourse tends to favor explanatory approaches and extensive contextualization. Writers often devote considerable attention to background information before introducing central arguments. This tendency reflects broader cultural preferences for indirectness and comprehensive explanation.

Recent educational reforms in Uzbekistan have increased interest in international academic writing standards. Universities increasingly require students to produce research papers, essays, and reports that align with global conventions. As a result, understanding the similarities and differences between English and Uzbek writing practices has become increasingly important.

Despite growing attention to academic writing, relatively few studies have specifically examined discussion essays from a comparative English-Uzbek perspective. The present research seeks to address this gap by providing a detailed analysis of structural and rhetorical features characteristic of discussion essays in both languages.

Research Methodology

The study employs a comparative qualitative approach to analyze discussion essays written in English and Uzbek. The research materials consist of academic writing textbooks, IELTS preparation materials, university writing guides, and student essays collected from higher education institutions. The selected essays cover a range of topics, including education, technology, environmental issues, social development, and cultural change.

The first stage of the research involved identifying common structural components of discussion essays. Introductions, body paragraphs, and conclusions were examined to determine how writers organize information and develop arguments. Particular attention was given to thesis statements, topic sentences, supporting evidence, and concluding remarks.

The second stage focused on linguistic analysis. Lexical choices, discourse markers, grammatical structures, and cohesive devices were identified and compared. This analysis aimed to reveal similarities and differences in the linguistic realization of argumentative discourse.

The third stage examined rhetorical and stylistic characteristics. Factors such as writer stance, persuasive strategies, paragraph development, and audience awareness were analyzed within the framework of contrastive rhetoric. The findings were then interpreted in relation to broader educational and cultural contexts.

The methodological combination of structural, linguistic, and rhetorical analysis allows for a comprehensive examination of discussion essay writing practices in English and Uzbek. Such an approach provides insights not only into textual features but also into the communicative principles underlying academic writing in both languages.

Results and Discussion

Structural Organization of Discussion Essays

The analysis demonstrates that discussion essays in both English and Uzbek generally follow a three-part structure consisting of an introduction, body, and conclusion. This shared organizational framework reflects the common objective of presenting and evaluating multiple viewpoints before reaching a final judgment.

In English academic writing, the introduction typically begins with a general statement about the topic, followed by a more specific discussion of the issue and a thesis statement outlining the scope of the essay. This structure helps readers understand the purpose and direction of the discussion from the outset. Clear thesis

statements are considered essential because they establish expectations and guide readers through the argument.

In contrast, Uzbek discussion essays often provide more extensive contextual information before introducing the main issue. Writers may begin by discussing historical, cultural, or social aspects related to the topic. While thesis statements are present, they are sometimes expressed less explicitly than in English essays. This difference reflects broader rhetorical traditions that prioritize gradual development of ideas.

Both English and Uzbek essays typically conclude with a summary of the main arguments and a final evaluation. However, English conclusions tend to be concise and focused, whereas Uzbek conclusions may include broader reflections and recommendations.

The introduction plays a crucial role in establishing the foundation of a discussion essay. English introductions are generally characterized by brevity and precision. Writers are encouraged to move from general background information to a clear statement of the issue and a concise thesis. This approach promotes efficiency and helps maintain reader engagement.

Uzbek introductions, by comparison, often provide more detailed explanations of the topic. Writers may include definitions, historical references, or examples before presenting the central issue. Such elaboration reflects educational practices that emphasize contextual understanding and comprehensive explanation. Despite these differences, both traditions recognize the importance of introducing the topic effectively and preparing readers for the subsequent discussion.

One of the defining features of discussion essays is the presentation of contrasting viewpoints. In English essays, body paragraphs are usually organized according to a clear pattern. Each paragraph focuses on a single argument and includes a topic sentence, supporting evidence, explanation, and, where appropriate, evaluation. This organizational strategy enhances coherence and allows readers to follow the argument easily. The use of examples, statistics, expert opinions, and research findings strengthens the credibility of the discussion.

Uzbek discussion essays also present opposing viewpoints, but the boundaries between arguments may be less rigidly defined. Writers often integrate explanation and evaluation within the same paragraph. Although this approach can create a more natural flow of ideas, it may sometimes reduce structural clarity. The analysis suggests that explicit paragraph organization contributes significantly to the effectiveness of English discussion essays and may serve as a useful model for students learning academic writing.

Cohesion and Coherence

Coherence refers to the logical connection of ideas within a text, while cohesion involves the linguistic devices used to create these connections. Both qualities are essential for successful discussion essay writing. English essays rely heavily on discourse markers such as *however*, *moreover*, *nevertheless*, *consequently*, *therefore*, and *in contrast*. These expressions signal relationships between ideas and guide readers through the argument. Topic sentences and concluding sentences further contribute to coherence by clearly indicating the purpose of each paragraph.

Uzbek essays also employ cohesive devices, including *biroq*, *shunga qaramay*, *natijada*, *bundan tashqari*, and *shu bilan birga*. However, coherence is often achieved through semantic relationships and contextual continuity rather than explicit transitional markers. As a result, readers may need to infer connections between ideas more actively. The findings indicate that the use of explicit discourse markers is one of the most significant distinguishing features of English discussion essays.

The lexical analysis reveals notable differences in vocabulary selection. English discussion essays frequently employ formal academic vocabulary and discipline-specific terminology. Words such as *significant*, *controversial*, *beneficial*, *detrimental*, *perspective*, and *implication* are common. Academic vocabulary contributes to precision and objectivity. Writers are expected to avoid informal language and maintain an appropriate academic register throughout the essay.

Uzbek discussion essays also utilize formal vocabulary but often include more explanatory and descriptive expressions. The language may be slightly more elaborate, reflecting traditional preferences for detailed exposition. Furthermore, cultural references and examples are more commonly integrated into the discussion. Despite these differences, both languages emphasize the importance of accurate and contextually appropriate vocabulary.

English discussion essays frequently employ complex grammatical structures, including subordinate clauses, conditional sentences, and passive constructions. Modal verbs such as *may*, *might*, *could*, and *should* are commonly used to express varying degrees of certainty.

Another characteristic feature is the use of hedging. Expressions such as *it appears that*, *it is likely that*, and *many researchers suggest* enable writers to present claims cautiously and objectively. Uzbek discussion essays also make use of complex sentence structures, although direct statements are generally more common. Writers may express conclusions with greater confidence and certainty than is typically expected in English academic discourse.

These grammatical differences reflect distinct academic traditions regarding the expression of authority and certainty.

Rhetorical and Cultural Differences

The comparison highlights important rhetorical differences between English and Uzbek discussion essays. English academic writing emphasizes objectivity, neutrality, and balanced evaluation. Writers are expected to consider multiple viewpoints and avoid overly subjective judgments.

Uzbek writing traditions, while increasingly influenced by international standards, often allow greater writer visibility. Personal observations and evaluative comments may be incorporated more freely into the discussion. This tendency reflects cultural preferences for direct engagement and personal responsibility in communication. Educational traditions also influence rhetorical choices. English-speaking academic environments generally prioritize independent argumentation and critical evaluation. Uzbek educational contexts have historically placed greater emphasis on explanation and knowledge transmission, although this trend is gradually changing.

Educational Implications

The findings have important implications for language teaching and academic writing instruction. Students learning English as a foreign language often encounter difficulties because they transfer rhetorical patterns from their first language into English writing. Understanding structural and rhetorical differences can help educators design more effective teaching materials and instructional strategies. Explicit instruction in essay organization, paragraph development, discourse markers, and academic vocabulary can significantly improve students' writing performance. At the same time, educators should recognize the value of students' existing rhetorical traditions and encourage the development of flexible writing skills that can be adapted to different contexts.

In multilingual educational environments, comparative approaches to writing instruction may be particularly beneficial. By examining similarities and differences between languages, students can develop greater awareness of audience expectations and communicative conventions.

CONCLUSION

The present study examined the structural and rhetorical characteristics of discussion essays in English and Uzbek through a comparative analysis. The findings demonstrate that both writing traditions share a common commitment to logical reasoning, balanced argumentation, and coherent organization. Nevertheless,

significant differences exist in essay structure, linguistic features, and rhetorical strategies.

English discussion essays are generally characterized by a highly standardized organizational pattern, explicit thesis statements, clearly defined paragraph structures, and extensive use of discourse markers. These features contribute to clarity, coherence, and reader accessibility. Uzbek discussion essays, while increasingly influenced by international academic standards, tend to exhibit greater flexibility in organization and a stronger emphasis on contextual explanation. The analysis also revealed differences in lexical choice, grammatical structures, and writer stance. English academic discourse favors objectivity, hedging, and impersonal presentation, whereas Uzbek writing may allow more direct evaluation and personal engagement. These distinctions reflect broader cultural and educational traditions that shape academic communication.

Understanding these similarities and differences is essential for students, teachers, and researchers involved in multilingual education. Greater awareness of cross-cultural writing conventions can facilitate more effective teaching, improve academic writing instruction, and support successful participation in international academic communities.

In conclusion, the study contributes to the growing body of research on contrastive rhetoric and academic writing. Future research may expand the scope of analysis by examining larger corpora of student essays and exploring the impact of educational reforms on writing practices in different linguistic contexts.

REFERENCES:

1. Abduazizov A.A. *Tilshunoslik nazariyasiga kirish*. – Toshkent: Sharq, 2010. – 280 b.
2. Arutyunova N.D. *Diskurs // Lingvisticheskiy entsiklopedicheskiy slovar*. – M.: Sovetskaya entsiklopediya, 1990. – S. 136–137.
3. Bailey S. *Academic Writing: A Handbook for International Students*. – 5th ed. – London: Routledge, 2018. – 334 p.
4. Biber D., Conrad S. *Register, Genre and Style*. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009. – 344 p.
5. Coffin C., Curry M.J., Goodman S., Hewings A., Lillis T., Swann J. *Teaching Academic Writing*. – London: Routledge, 2003. – 352 p.
6. Connor U. *Contrastive Rhetoric: Cross-Cultural Aspects of Second-Language Writing*. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996. – 201 p.

7. Connor U. *Intercultural Rhetoric in the Writing Classroom*. – Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2011. – 248 p.
8. Flowerdew J. *Academic Discourse*. – London: Routledge, 2013. – 278 p.
9. Halliday M.A.K., Hasan R. *Cohesion in English*. – London: Longman, 1976. – 374 p.
10. Halliday M.A.K. *An Introduction to Functional Grammar*. – 3rd ed. – London: Arnold, 2004. – 700 p.
11. Hamp-Lyons L., Heasley B. *Study Writing: A Course in Writing Skills for Academic Purposes*. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006. – 214 p.
12. Hyland K. *Academic Discourse: English in a Global Context*. – London: Continuum, 2009. – 256 p.
13. Hyland K. *Second Language Writing*. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003. – 273 p.
14. Jordan R.R. *Academic Writing Course*. – Harlow: Pearson Education Limited, 1999. – 404 p.
15. Kaplan R.B. *Cultural Thought Patterns in Inter-Cultural Education // Language Learning*. – 1966. – Vol. 16. – No. 1–2. – P. 1–20.
16. Leki I. *Academic Writing: Exploring Processes and Strategies*. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998. – 298 p.
17. Mahmudov N. *O‘qituvchi nutqi madaniyati*. – Toshkent: O‘zbekiston Milliy ensiklopediyasi, 2007. – 192 b.
18. Mengliyev B.R. *Hozirgi o‘zbek adabiy tili*. – Toshkent: Tafakkur Bo‘stoni, 2018. – 400 b.
19. Nurmonov A. *Tanlangan asarlar. 3-jild. Tilshunoslik nazariyasi*. – Toshkent: Akademi-nashr, 2012. – 368 b.
20. Swales J.M., Feak C.B. *Academic Writing for Graduate Students*. – 3rd ed. – Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2012. – 312 p.
21. Oshima A., Hogue A. *Writing Academic English*. – 5th ed. – New York: Pearson Education, 2014. – 336 p.
22. Yo‘ldoshev M. *Badiiy matn va uning lingvopoetik tahlili*. – Toshkent: Fan, 2007. – 124 b.
23. Zemach D.E., Rumisek L.A. *Academic Writing from Paragraph to Essay*. – Oxford: Macmillan Education, 2005. – 140 p.